

## UNITED STATES SEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE 04/06/92 CHEN 07/863,791 MOSLEY, T 15M1/0806 PAPER NUMBER BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN ART UNIT 12400 WILSHIRE BLVD., 7TH FL. LOS ANGELES, CA 90025 1503 DATE MAILED: 08/06/93 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Responsive to communication filed on A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire\_ \_\_ month(s), \_ \_ days from the date of this letter. Fallure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. D Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4.  $\square$  Notice of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152. 3. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. SUMMARY OF ACTION Part II 1. Claims Of the above, claims 2. Claims ☐ Claims 1-12, 14-23 are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. 🔲 This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. 

The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on \_\_\_\_ \_ . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable. not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ has (have) been approved by the examiner.  $\square$  disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). \_\_\_\_\_, has been approved. disapproved (see explanation). 11. 

The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_ 12. 🔲 Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has 🔲 been received 🗋 not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_ : filed on \_ 13. 🔲 Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. 🖸 Other

Serial Number: 07/863,791 −2-

Art Unit: 1503

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 4-19-93 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 1-12 and 14-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)as being anticipated by Bohlen et al. and for reasons of record. Further, applicants' claimed invention, although previously amended, remains broadly defined. The overall method of "...printing a two-dimensional feature on a substrate..." remains anticipated by the prior art. The inclusion of the phrase "...less than or equal to the Raleigh limit.." still overlaps the prior art since the prior art has not limited the two edges to be separated by a distance greater than the Raleigh limit as applicants have apparently assumed. Thus, the prior art has specified no limitation as to the lower limit and thus includes those distances less than or equal to the Raleigh limit. although the reference is not specifically directed to applicants' problem, the methods appear to be similar, if not identical, and thus would inherently result in applicants' improved image. Note that the intended "use" of applicants'

Art Unit: 1503

claimed invention, as noted on page 5 of applicants' argument, is irrelevant.

In view of the above, there appears to remain no significant difference between the reference and that which is claimed by applicants. Consequently, the claimed invention cannot be deemed as novel and accordingly is unpatentable.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Note that although claims 2-12 and 14-23 were not previously rejected under 35 USC 102 but instead were rejected under 35 USC 103, the above does not amount to a new ground of rejection, inasmuch as anticipation is the epitome of obviousness. <u>In re May, 574 F.2d 1082, 197 USPQ 601 (CCPA 1978)</u>

. Serial Number: 07/863,791

Art Unit: 1503

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Mosley whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

tmm / (// . August 5, 1993

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

-4-

**GROUP 1500**